

Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Robert Greene Sterne
Edward J. Kessler
Jorge A. Goldstein
David K.S. Cornwell
Robert W. Esmond
Tracy-Gené G. Durkin
Michele A. Cimbala
Michael B. Ray
Robert E. Sokohl
Eric K. Steffe
Michael O. Lee
Steven R. Ludwig
John M. Covert
Linda E. Alcorn
Robert C. Millonig
Lawrence B. Bugaisky
Donald J. Featherstone
Michael V. Messinger

Judith U. Kim
Timothy J. Shea, Jr.
Patrick E. Garrett
Jeffrey T. Helvey
Heidi L. Kraus
Albert L. Ferro*
Donald R. Banowitz
Peter A. Jackman
Teresa U. Medler
Jeffrey S. Weaver
Kendrick P. Patterson
Vincent L. Capuano
Eldora Ellison Floyd
Thomas C. Fiala
Brian J. Del Buono
Virgil Lee Beaston
Theodore A. Wood
Elizabeth J. Haanes

Joseph S. Ostroff
Frank R. Cottingham
Christine M. Lhulier
Rae Lynn Prengaman
George S. Bardmesser
Daniel A. Klein*
Jason D. Eisenberg
Michael D. Specht
Andrea J. Kamage
Tracy L. Muller*
LuAnne M. DeSantis
Ann E. Summerfield
Tiera S. Coston
Aric W. Ledford*
Helene C. Carlson
Timothy A. Doyle*
Jessica L. Parezo
Gaby L. Longsworth*

Lori A. Gordon*
Nicole D. Dretar*
Ted J. Ebersole
Jyoti C. Iyer*
Laura A. Vogel
Registered Patent Agents
Karen R. Markowicz
Nancy J. Leith
Matthew J. Dowd
Aaron L. Schwartz
Katrina Yujian Pei Quach
Bryan L. Skelton
Robert A. Schwartzman
Teresa A. Colella
Jeffrey S. Lundgren
Victoria S. Rutherford
Michelle K. Holoubek

Robert H. DeSelms
Simon J. Elliott
Julie A. Heider
Mita Mukherjee
Scott M. Woodhouse
Michael G. Penn
Christopher J. Walsh

Of Counsel
Kenneth C. Bass III
Evan R. Smith
Marvin C. Guthrie

*Admitted only in Maryland
†Admitted only in Virginia
*Practice Limited to
Federal Agencies

August 27, 2004

WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER:
(202) 772-8550

INTERNET ADDRESS:
EKESSLER@SKGF.COM

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop: Amendment

Re: U.S. Utility Patent Application
Application No.: 10/734,645; Filed: December 15, 2003
For: **Broadhead Arrowhead**
Inventor: Todd A. Kuhn
Our Ref: 2030.0030001/EJK

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for appropriate action are the following documents:

1. Supplemental Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111; and
2. One Return Postcard.

It is respectfully requested that the attached postcard be stamped with the date of filing of these documents, and that it be returned to our courier. In the event that extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this patent application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Edward J. Kessler
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 25,688

EJK/mhd
304778



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Todd A. Kuhn

Appl. No.: 10/734,645

Filed: December 15, 2003

For: **Broadhead Arrowhead**

Confirmation No.: 9037

Art Unit: 3712

Examiner: John A. Ricci

Atty. Docket: 2030.0030001/EJK

Supplemental Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In further reply to the Office Action dated June 15, 2004, and in response to the Office Action dated July 30, 2004, Applicant submits the following additional Remarks.

Remarks

At the interview conducted on July 28, 2004, Applicant's representative and the Examiner discussed the differences between Applicant's invention and the broadhead described in U.S. Patent No. 6,319,161 to Martinez. It was agreed that the Martinez broadhead does not contribute rotational torque to the arrow. As stated at Col. 3, lines 52-53, of Martinez, it is the "vanes 34 [that] increase rotational spinning and stabilization of the arrow when it is in flight. . . ." It was agreed that there is no teaching or suggestion in the Martinez patent that the broadhead itself has an airfoil-type shape that produces a rotational torque about the longitudinal axis of the broadhead ferrule.

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be


Todd A. Kuhn
Appl. No. 10/734,645

withdrawn. Applicant believes that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Supplemental Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Edward J. Kessler
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 25,688

Date: August 27, 2004

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
(202) 371-2600

304734_1.DOC